

AN A.S. PRATT PUBLICATION

OCTOBER 2022

VOL. 8 NO. 8

PRATT'S
**PRIVACY &
CYBERSECURITY
LAW**
REPORT



EDITOR'S NOTE: GET READY

Victoria Prussen Spears

TOP SIX PRIVACY IMPACTS ON MOBILE HEALTH APPS FROM OVERTURNING *ROE V. WADE*

Jane E. Blaney, Peter A. Blenkinsop and Jeremiah Posedel

PREPARING FOR THE NEW AND UPDATED PRIVACY LAWS IN CALIFORNIA AND VIRGINIA

Daniel K. Alvarez, Laura E. Jehl and Stefan Ducich

THE ILLINOIS BIOMETRIC INFORMATION PRIVACY ACT'S SCOPE IS SHAPED BY COURTS, WITH NO LEGISLATIVE RELIEF IN SIGHT

Kenneth K. Suh and Hannah Oswald

ARE YOU READY FOR THE BIOMETRIC TSUNAMI? THE NEW WAVE OF BIOMETRIC STATUTES

Tara L. Trifon and Brian I. Hays

CONNECTICUT MOVES TO PROTECT CONSUMER PRIVACY: WHAT DOES ITS DATA PRIVACY ACT REQUIRE?

Jami Vibbert, Nancy L. Perkins and Jason T. Raylesberg

CYBER INCIDENT REPORTING FOR CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE ACT: WHAT COMPANIES NEED TO KNOW NOW

Amy de La Lama, Lori Van Auken and Gabrielle A. Harwell

FEDERAL PRIVACY BILL: WILL THE UNITED STATES ENACT COMPREHENSIVE PRIVACY LEGISLATION?

Jean Paul Yugo Nagashima and Michael E. Nitardy

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report

VOLUME 8

NUMBER 8

October 2022

Editor's Note: Get Ready

Victoria Prussen Spears

257

**Top Six Privacy Impacts on Mobile Health Apps from
Overturning *Roe v. Wade***

Jane E. Blaney, Peter A. Blenkinsop and Jeremiah Posedel

259

Preparing for the New and Updated Privacy Laws in California and Virginia

Daniel K. Alvarez, Laura E. Jehl and Stefan Ducich

262

**The Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act's Scope Is Shaped by Courts,
With No Legislative Relief in Sight**

Kenneth K. Suh and Hannah Oswald

267

**Are You Ready for the Biometric Tsunami? The New Wave of
Biometric Statutes**

Tara L. Trifon and Brian I. Hays

271

**Connecticut Moves to Protect Consumer Privacy: What Does Its Data
Privacy Act Require?**

Jami Vibbert, Nancy L. Perkins and Jason T. Raylesberg

276

**Cyber Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act: What Companies
Need to Know Now**

Amy de La Lama, Lori Van Auken and Gabrielle A. Harwell

281

**Federal Privacy Bill: Will the United States Enact Comprehensive
Privacy Legislation?**

Jean Paul Yugo Nagashima and Michael E. Nitardy

287

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:
Alexandra Jefferies at (937) 560-3067

Email: alexandra.jefferies@lexisnexis.com

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

Fax Number (800) 828-8341

Customer Service Web site <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3362-4 (print)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3363-1 (eBook)

ISSN: 2380-4785 (Print)

ISSN: 2380-4823 (Online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [page number]

(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Laura Clark Fey and Jeff Johnson, *Shielding Personal Information in eDiscovery*, [8] PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [82] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2022 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt Publication

Editorial

Editorial Offices

630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

(2022-Pub. 4939)

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

EMILIO W. CIVIDANES

Partner, Venable LLP

CHRISTOPHER G. CWALINA

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

RICHARD D. HARRIS

Partner, Day Pitney LLP

JAY D. KENISBERG

Senior Counsel, Rivkin Radler LLP

DAVID C. LASHWAY

Partner, Baker & McKenzie LLP

CRAIG A. NEWMAN

Partner, Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP

ALAN CHARLES RAUL

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

RANDI SINGER

Partner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

JOHN P. TOMASZEWSKI

Senior Counsel, Seyfarth Shaw LLP

TODD G. VARE

Partner, Barnes & Thornburg LLP

THOMAS F. ZYCH

Partner, Thompson Hine

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report is published nine times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2022 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974.

Cyber Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act: What Companies Need to Know Now

*By Amy de La Lama, Lori Van Auken and Gabrielle A. Harwell**

In this article, the authors outline what critical infrastructure entities need to know about the new reporting rules under the Cyber Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act – now and in the future.

The Cyber Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act (“CIRCIA” or the “Act”) is a new federal law, adopted in March 2022, which requires critical infrastructure entities to report certain cybersecurity incidents and ransom payments to the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (“CISA”) within a matter of hours. Although CIRCIA garnered significant fanfare at the time it was signed into law, many details remain to be hashed out by implementing regulations, which could take years to finalize.¹ Covered entities, however, should take no comfort in this delay. CIRCIA provides remarkably detailed guidance concerning the scope of these regulations, putting covered entities on clear notice of their future obligations and the consequences of failing to comply.

This article outlines what critical infrastructure entities need to know about these new reporting rules – now and in the future.

WHAT ARE THE NEW REPORTING OBLIGATIONS?

CIRCIA establishes two new reporting obligations.

First, CIRCIA requires covered entities that experience a “covered cyber incident” to report the incident to CISA within 72 hours after the entity “reasonably believes” that the incident has occurred.²

Second, CIRCIA requires covered entities to report all ransom payments made as a result of ransomware attacks within 24 hours after any such payment, regardless of whether the ransomware attack is a covered cyber incident.³

* Amy de La Lama, a partner in the Boulder office of Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP, is chair of the firm’s Global Data Privacy and Cybersecurity team. Lori Van Auken, a partner in the firm’s New York office, represents clients in complex commercial litigation disputes, internal investigations and white collar criminal matters. Gabrielle A. Harwell, an associate in the firm’s Chicago office, represents emerging and established companies in data privacy and security matters. The authors may be contacted at amy.delalama@bclplaw.com, lori.vanauken@bclplaw.com and gabrielle.harwell@bclplaw.com.

¹ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(7), (b). The Act requires CISA to publish proposed regulations not later than 24 months following enactment (i.e., by March 2024), and to issue final regulations not later than 18 months thereafter (i.e., by September 2025).

² H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(1).

³ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(2).

If the “ransomware attack” also qualifies as a “covered cyber incident” and the ransom payment is made within the 72 hour period, the covered entity need only provide one report, even if the report is made more than 24 hours after the ransom was paid.⁴

WHO IS REQUIRED TO REPORT?

CIRCIAs new reporting requirements will apply to entities that operate in a “critical infrastructure sector” if they also satisfy the definition of “covered entity” – a definition left to the rule-making process.⁵ These “critical infrastructure sectors” were identified in a 2013 Presidential Policy Directive and include a broad swathe of private and public industry conducting business in the following areas:

| Critical Infrastructure Sectors | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Chemical | Commercial Facilities | Communications | Critical Manufacturing |
| Dams | Defense Industrial Base | Emergency Services | Energy |
| Financial Services | Food & Agriculture | Government Facilities | Healthcare & Public Health |
| Information Tech | Nuclear | Transportation | Water & Wastewater |

In developing a definition of “covered entity,” CIRCIAs requires CISA to consider three broad factors:

- The consequences that a particular cyber incident might have on national or economic security, public health and safety;
- The likelihood that the entity could be targeted for attack; and
- The extent to which an incident is likely to disrupt the reliable operation of critical infrastructure.⁶

Based on these criteria, most, if not all, companies operating in these 16 sectors should be prepared to comply with CIRCIAs new reporting rules.

⁴ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(5)(A).

⁵ H.R. 2471 § 2240(5).

⁶ H.R. 2471 § 2242(c)(1). Specifically, CISA must consider: “A) the consequences that disruption to or compromise of such an entity could cause to national security, economic security, or public health and safety; B) the likelihood that such an entity may be targeted by a malicious cyber actor, including a foreign country; and C) the extent to which damage, disruption, or unauthorized access to such an entity, including the accessing of sensitive cybersecurity vulnerability information or penetration testing tools or techniques, will likely enable the disruption of the reliable operation of critical infrastructure.”

WHAT TYPES OF “COVERED CYBER INCIDENTS” MUST BE REPORTED WITHIN 72 HOURS?

CIRCIA requires covered entities to report “covered cyber incidents.” Although the precise definition of “covered cyber incident” is left to later rulemaking, CIRCIA provides extensive guidance about the types of incidents that should be reported.

First, CIRCIA defines a “cyber incident” as an incident that “actually” jeopardizes an information system or the information contained on such a system.⁷ A threat of imminent harm to an information system, therefore, is not covered.

Second, CIRCIA provides that a “covered cyber incident” must be a “substantial” cyber incident.⁸ Again, although CIRCIA does not define “substantial,” it states that a “substantial” cyber incident must include, “at a minimum,” the following types of incidents:

- Incidents that lead to “substantial loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability of an affected information system or network, or a serious impact on the safety and resiliency of operational systems and processes;”
- Incidents that disrupt business or industrial operations, including due to a DDoS attack, ransomware attack or exploitation of a zero day vulnerability; or
- Incidents that involve “unauthorized access to or disruption of business or industrial operations” triggering a loss of service that is caused by the compromise of a cloud service provider, other third-party data hosting provider or by a supply chain compromise.⁹

In addition to these minimum criteria, CIRCIA also requires CISA to consider other factors in establishing the types of “substantial” incidents that will be considered “covered cyber incidents” They include:

- The tactics used;
- The type of data at issue;
- The number of individuals potentially affected; and
- The potential impact of the incident on industrial control systems.¹⁰

⁷ H.R. 2471 § 2240(6).

⁸ H.R. 2471 § 2240(4).

⁹ H.R. 2471 § 2242(c)(2)(A).

¹⁰ H.R. 2471 § 2242(c)(2)(B).

In short, a broad range of incidents are likely to be considered “substantial” cyber incidents that will have to be reported within 72 hours.

WHAT TYPES OF RANSOM PAYMENTS MUST BE REPORTED WITHIN 24 HOURS?

The ransom payment reporting obligation is clearly defined in the statute and does not depend on future rule-making to understand its scope. CIRCIA defines a “ransom payment” broadly as the “transmission of any money or other property or asset, including a virtual currency” which has “at any time been delivered as ransom in connection with a ransomware attack.”¹¹

A “ransomware attack,” in turn, is defined as an incident that includes the “use or threat of use” of unauthorized or malicious code or some other mechanism “to interrupt or disrupt” the operations of an information system or to compromise the data on an information system “to extort a demand for a ransom payment.”¹² A “ransomware attack,” however, does not include an event in which the demand for payment is “not genuine” or is made in good faith in response to a specific request by the owner or operator of the information system.¹³

WHAT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE REPORTS?

The specific information to be included in these reports, as well as the procedures for submitting them, are left for the rulemaking process. Nevertheless, extensive guidance about what should be included in these reports is set forth in the Act.¹⁴ For instance, “covered cyber incident” reports must contain, among many other things, a description of the impacted information systems or networks, the unauthorized access and the impact to the covered entity’s operations.¹⁵ Reports of ransom payments also must contain a description of the attack, the vulnerabilities and tactics used to perpetrate the attack, any known criminal identifiers, entity contact information, and information relating to the ransom demand itself.¹⁶

DOES CIRCIA IMPOSE ANY CONTINUING OBLIGATIONS?

Yes. CIRCIA requires covered entities to provide supplemental reports if “substantial new or different information becomes available” or if the covered entity makes a

¹¹ H.R. 2471 § 2240(13).

¹² H.R. 2471 § 2240(14)(A).

¹³ H.R. 2471 § 2240(14)(B).

¹⁴ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(6).

¹⁵ H.R. 2471 § 2242(c)(4)(A)-(F).

¹⁶ H.R. 2471 § 2242(c)(5).

ransom payment after submitting a covered cyber incident report.¹⁷ Covered entities are obligated to provide these updated reports until they notify CISA that the covered cyber incident “has concluded and has been fully mitigated and resolved.”¹⁸ CIRCIA also requires covered entities to preserve data relevant to any reported matters.¹⁹ The deadlines and criteria for submitting these supplemental reports and the procedures for preserving data will be established during the rulemaking process.²⁰

WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES OF FAILING TO REPORT?

If a covered entity fails to report a covered cyber incident or ransom payment, CISA may issue a request for information to the covered entity.²¹ If the covered entity fails to respond or to respond adequately to CISA’s information request within 72 hours, CISA may issue a subpoena to compel disclosure.²² If the covered entity fails to comply with the subpoena, CISA may refer the matter to the Attorney General who may bring a civil action to enforce the subpoena; failure to comply with the subpoena may be punishable by contempt.²³ Once again, however, specific procedures for carrying out these enforcement provisions and “other available enforcement mechanisms” will be developed during the rulemaking process.²⁴

ARE THERE ANY CRIMINAL RAMIFICATIONS?

CIRCIA provides that information reported to CISA pursuant to the statute may be used by the federal government for several broadly stated purposes, such as identifying cyber threats or vulnerabilities, responding to or preventing specific threats of death or serious bodily harm or economic harm, or prosecuting offenses arising out of a reported cyber incident.²⁵ In addition, if information provided in response to a subpoena issued pursuant to the Act identifies grounds for a regulatory enforcement action or criminal prosecution, CIRCIA authorizes CISA to provide such information to the Attorney General or appropriate federal agency official for such purposes.²⁶ Notably, CIRCIA also contains a catch-all provision which says that nothing in the Act shall be construed to limit the authority of the U.S. government to take action “with respect to the cybersecurity of an entity.”²⁷

¹⁷ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(3).

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(4).

²⁰ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(4), (c)(6).

²¹ H.R. 2471 § 2244(a), (b).

²² H.R. 2471 § 2244(c)(1).

²³ H.R. 2471 § 2244(c)(2).

²⁴ H.R. 2471 § 2242(c)(8)(B).

²⁵ H.R. 2471 § 2245(a)(1)(A)-(E).

²⁶ H.R. 2471 § 2244(d)(1).

²⁷ H.R. 2471 § 2242(h).

DOES CIRCIA PROVIDE ANY PROTECTIONS OR SAFE HARBORS?

CIRCIA provides several protections for reporting entities. CIRCIA prohibits federal, state and local governments, in certain limited circumstances, from regulating covered entities and pursuing enforcement actions based on submitted reports.²⁸ CIRCIA also provides that reports will not be publicly available through FOIA or similar information disclosure laws or constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege or protection.²⁹

In addition, no report shall be the basis of litigation, admitted into evidence, subject to discovery or otherwise used in any judicial, federal or state regulatory proceeding, except when brought by the federal government to enforce a subpoena pursuant to this Act.³⁰

Finally, entities that are required to provide substantially similar reports to another federal agency within a substantially similar timeframe are not required to submit the reports required by CIRCIA, provided that the agency to which the report was submitted has an agreement and sharing mechanism in place with CISA.³¹

WHAT SHOULD CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE ENTITIES BE DOING NOW?

Once CIRCIA's final regulations are implemented, entities that operate within the critical infrastructure sectors will be required to meet several new and stringent incident reporting obligations. The potentially lengthy rulemaking process, however, should not delay preparatory actions. The Act contains detailed guidance about the types of incidents that should be reported within very short time frames and the types of information that should be included in those reports.

Companies in the critical infrastructure sectors should use this time now to review their incident response plans, procedures and playbooks and conduct relevant tabletop exercises to ensure that their teams are prepared to react as quickly and efficiently as possible when these cyber incidents and ransomware attacks occur.

²⁸ H.R. 2471 § 2245(a)(5)(A).

²⁹ H.R. 2471 § 2245(b).

³⁰ H.R. 2471 § 2245(c).

³¹ H.R. 2471 § 2242(a)(5)(B).