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t would not be surprising to hear that an Asian Pacific-American attorney was mistaken to be a translator or paralegal when she walked into a boardroom to lead complex negotiations on behalf of her client. Similarly, African-American and Hispanic lawyers are far too often assumed to be defendants when they enter courtrooms to defend their clients or prosecute criminals. These lawyers, who were confident and well-prepared before these encounters, might now question their ability to serve their clients with excellence, and their clients might have similar doubts.

These kinds of incorrect assumptions about the roles of people of color in the legal profession often result from negative and untrue attitudes or beliefs about the capabilities and propensities of individuals from certain groups. Oftentimes, such beliefs are not consciously held or recognized as appropriate by the individual holding the beliefs. Rather, these thoughts can stem from unconscious, or implicit bias, which includes “attitudes or stereotypes that affect our understanding, decision-making, and behavior, without our even realizing it.”

Although efforts to promote diversity and inclusion in the legal profession must certainly include the consideration of the effects of explicit bias, they also must address implicit bias, which can result in much more serious ramifications than in the examples provided above. In fact, implicit bias can be more damaging and more dangerous than overt discrimination because these biases operate outside of our consciousness, which means that we might not be aware of the negative beliefs that are affecting the decisions we make.

What Does Implicit Bias Look Like in the Legal Profession?

Implicit bias might occur, for example, when law firm partners who hold egalitarian beliefs evaluate associates of color more harshly than others, or when judges who support fairness in sentencing sentence similarly situated defendants differently, or when legal employers who value diversity and inclusion view applicants differently based on whether their names are most commonly associated with people from certain ethnic background. One study involving the editing of a research memo from a hypothetical associate found that law firm partners evaluated the same memo more harshly when the author was identified as African-American than when he was identified as Caucasian. Other studies have found similar results for Asian-Americans and Hispanics. Of course, the possible negative ramifications of unconscious prejudice go beyond the legal workplace and into our courtrooms and client relationships. Researchers, for instance, have identified charging and sentencing disparities based on race that were not attributable to race-neutral factors, which means that implicit bias might be affecting the administration of justice for all Americans.

What Is the Representation of People of Color in the Legal Profession?

People of color comprise more than 37 percent of the U.S. population, but lawyers of color comprise only 12 to 13 percent of the individuals in the legal profession. Not only is this percentage of lawyers of color significantly less than the percentage of people of color in the U.S. population, but it also is significantly less than the representation of people of color in other professions. For example, 26.4 percent of accountants and auditors, 36.5 percent of software developers, 28 percent of physicians and surgeons, and 22 percent of the management and professional labor force are people of color.

Lawyers of color are most highly represented among entry-level law faculty (25 percent), followed by law firm associates (20 percent), United States Department of Justice attorneys (16 percent), and corporate counsel (15 percent). Lawyers of color are represented the least among large law firm partners (6.6 percent). In terms of the federal judiciary, approximately 12 percent of all active Article III judges are African American, 9 percent are Hispanic, 3 percent are Asian Pacific American, and 0.2 percent are Native American.

There may be a confluence of factors that explains the under-representation of lawyers of color in various legal fields, but I firmly believe that implicit bias is a significant factor for the failure of lawyers...
For lawyers, these efforts should include trainings and workshops specifically intended to raise awareness about implicit bias and to alter negative associations that form the basis for racial (and other) prejudice. The fact that our unconscious beliefs can be in conflict with our conscious commitments to equality, diversity, and inclusion may create internal conflict about taking steps to address implicit bias. The first and key step to addressing implicit bias is for lawyers to cultivate an openness to receiving information that may challenge their longstanding conscious beliefs and ideas and that also may question their self-identities as fair and reasonable persons, and to deliberately consider the perspectives of others during decision-making. Raising awareness about implicit bias is particularly important for lawyers who serve in supervisory or evaluative positions to ensure that the next generation of lawyers of color are fairly supported and promoted, which will, in turn, help diminish the unconscious negative associations about lawyers of color throughout the legal profession. In addition to raising awareness about implicit bias and its affects, lawyers with supervisory and managerial responsibilities must ensure that there is ongoing and consistent support and feedback for lawyers, who, particularly during times of stress or other duress, may revert back to decision-making based on implicit bias. True and meaningful change requires constant vigilance.

Most significantly, lawyers need to actively engage with lawyers of color and support diversity and inclusion efforts. Increased positive exposure to groups who have been associated with negative attitudes and stereotypes can lead to the reduction of implicit bias against individuals in those groups. Research also has found that implicit biases are not permanent and can change over time. Unconscious prejudice can be diminished, or perhaps even eradicated, if we are willing to make long-term investments of time and resources. The inclusion of people of color in the courts, in corporate legal departments, in law firms, and in government agencies is critical so that judges, lawyers, clients, and all Americans are exposed to people of color serving in often counter-stereotypic roles in all aspects of the legal profession. Such diversity and inclusion encourages and supports the creation of new, positive beliefs and reinforces efforts to dismantle negative associations about lawyers of color.

Another significant contributor to combating hidden biases is to ensure that lawyers of color are included in leadership teams and as part of the decision-making processes. This inclusion is a critical component of battling implicit bias. Relationships between lawyers from different groups who enjoy equal status with their peers, share common goals, and receive the support of their supervisors can create positive associations and reduce intergroup prejudices. In addition, the inclusion of lawyers of color as key decision-makers can help ensure that issues of diversity and inclusion are priorities for firm or company leaders. The national bars of color engage individually and collectively to promote diversity and inclusion in the legal profession and to combat implicit bias. The National Asian Pacific American Bar Association (NAPABA), along with the Hispanic National Bar Association (HNBA), the National Bar Association (NBA), and the National Native American Bar Association (NNABA) should be relied upon as resources for firms and companies for networking and leadership development for lawyers of color.

Why Are Diversity and Inclusion Important?

The face of the legal profession in the United States is changing as the diversity of our overall population has increased and as the number of people of color entering law school and the legal profession continues to rise. Leaders in the bar have an opportunity and the responsibility to actively counter implicit bias that unfairly limits the success of lawyers of color. Collectively, we can work towards dismantling discriminatory prejudices that lurk beneath the surface and promote a more diverse and inclusive legal workforce. Although diversity and inclusiveness are fundamental components of disabling and eliminating unconscious bias, they also can produce independently meaningful outcomes, including the potential for improved problem-solving and decision-making. If we hire, support, and promote lawyers of color in all areas of the profession—from Big Law to federal government to corporate legal departments to academia to our judiciary—we will move closer toward creating an environment and a legal system that cultivate positive attitudes about people of color, ensure a more equitable workplace for lawyers throughout the profession, and move toward a more fair and just administration of our laws.
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Other national bar associations focus on women lawyers, LGBT lawyers, and other groups who also are often the victims of implicit bias. Because this article focuses on issues specifically concerning lawyers of color, however, I have not mentioned these organizations here, but I recognize their importance to diversity and inclusion in the legal profession.


"The first and key step to addressing implicit bias is for lawyers to cultivate an openness to receiving information that may challenge their longstanding conscious beliefs and ideas and that also may question their self-identities as fair and reasonable persons, and to deliberatively consider the perspectives of others during decision-making."