

Insights

COURT-ORDERED MEASURES IN SUPPORT OF ARBITRATION

Nov 11, 2020

This article discusses the applicability of court-ordered measures in support of arbitration under section 44 of the English Arbitration Act 1996 to third parties to arbitration.

In, "Section 44 of the English Arbitration Act 1996 and third parties to arbitration", we argue that the English courts' power to order measures under section 44 (in relation to the taking and gathering of evidence, the appointment of a receiver, the granting of interim injunctions, etc.) should be interpreted purposively and in line with the policy objectives behind the Arbitration Act, meaning that the full range of measures in support of arbitration should be available against third parties to arbitration as much as to the disputing parties themselves. In support of our argument, we discuss and analyse recent English case law in detail with reference to the international approach under the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration and the approach in Hong Kong SAR under section 45 of the Hong Kong Arbitration Ordinance (CAP. 609).

This article on Arbitration International is behind a paywall.

Arbitration International is the official journal of the London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) published by Oxford University Press. The peer-reviewed journal provides quarterly coverage of national and international developments in the world of arbitration.

RELATED CAPABILITIES

International Arbitration

MEET THE TEAM



George Burn

Co-Author, London
george.burn@bclplaw.com
+44 (0) 20 3400 2615



Kevin Cheung

Co-Author, London
kevin.cheung@bclplaw.com
+44 (0) 20 3400 4844

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be "Attorney Advertising" under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP's principal office and Kathrine Dixon (kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com) as the responsible attorney.