

Insights

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION GOES BIG AGAINST BIG TECH

Dec 18, 2020

On 15 December 2020, the European Commission released two much anticipated proposals for new regulations governing conduct in the digital space – the Digital Markets Act (“**DMA**”) and the Digital Services Act (“**DSA**”).

These proposals underscore the leading role the Commission, particularly under current Vice President Margrethe Vestager, is looking to play in connection with how companies active in the digital sector are regulated. The EU is being joined by other jurisdictions in pushing forward with further initiatives in this space, with other major economies also looking critically at how they regulate players in the digital and tech sector. The United Kingdom has, for example, recently announced that it will put in place new Codes of Conduct to govern the market conduct of major platforms, which will be enforced by a Digital Markets Unit at the Competition & Markets Authority. Germany is amending its competition law to address the digital space, while the US antitrust agencies and States are bringing enforcement actions against large tech companies following on the heels of the recent US House of Representatives’ report that proposed sweeping reforms to address what it sees as competition problems in the sector.

The Digital Markets Act

The DMA is, according to the Commission, aimed at “ensuring fair and open digital markets”. To this end, the DMA would impose a number of legal obligations upon so-called “gatekeepers” in the digital space in Europe.

In short, a gatekeeper will be an operator of an online platform that has a significant impact on the EU and that operates as an important “gateway” for businesses to reach end users. The DMA sets out a number of thresholds (based on revenue and user numbers) upon which a platform operator will be presumed to be a gatekeeper (unless they provide sufficient evidence to convince the Commission that they are not). Further, the Commission will have powers to conduct market investigations, with a view to designating particular companies as gatekeepers.

While the obligations imposed upon companies designated as gatekeepers are wide, the effects the DMA will have on most online businesses from a compliance perspective should not be overstated.

Meanwhile, some businesses that fall outside the gatekeeper definition will benefit from the obligations imposed on gatekeepers. The definition of a gatekeeper, and the thresholds that result in a presumption that a platform operator is a gatekeeper, are intended to capture only the largest and most “entrenched” platform operators. Indeed, Internal Market Commissioner Thierry Breton has said that it anticipates around 10 European companies will qualify as gatekeepers under these definitions.

Under the DMA, once a company is designated as a gatekeeper, it will face a number of obligations intended to ensure it operates in a fair way, allowing other online businesses to effectively compete and reach consumers. While the DMA lists a wide range of obligations that must be met, some of the key obligations include:

- Allowing third parties to interoperate with the gatekeeper’s services;
- Allowing users of the gatekeeper’s platform to access data generated through their use of the platform;
- Providing advertisers on the platform with the information they need to independently verify their advertisements;
- Allowing businesses who use the platform to also offer goods and services, and conclude contracts with customers, outside the platform (e.g. via their own websites or via other platforms); and
- Prohibiting gatekeepers from treating their own products or services more favourable in rankings than similar products or services.

In order to enforce the DMA, the Commission will be equipped with wide ranging investigative powers, including the power to issue information requests or to carry out onsite inspections. The Commission will also be able to impose significant fines of up to 10% of the gatekeeper’s global turnover if they fail to comply with their obligations. For systematic breaches of the DMA, it is also proposed that the Commission may also be able to impose behavioural or structural remedies on gatekeepers, potentially even extending to requiring divestiture of parts of their business.

The Digital Services Act

In contrast to the DMA, the DSA is not concerned with ensuring fair competition in the digital sector. Rather, its primary aim to ensure that the digital services in Europe are safer and more open.

Specifically, the DSA would impose different obligations on companies active in the online economy. Those obligations would differ in scope, depending on how that business is classified (e.g. as an intermediary service, hosting service or online platform). Broadly, the obligations would include requirements regarding transparency, taking account of fundamental rights in terms of

service, cooperating with national authorities, vetting of third party suppliers and requirements to report criminal offences.

For “very large platforms”, the obligations would extend further, and impose broad obligations relating to areas such as risk management, data sharing with authorities, codes of conduct and crisis response cooperation.

As with the DMA, the DSA proposes that the Commission will have wide investigative and fining powers.

Next Steps

The proposals issued by the Commission this week are just that – proposals that are still subject to lobbying and changes as they wind through the EU’s legislative process. That process will now likely take the better part of the next one to two years, with both proposals possibly not coming into force until 2023.

BCLP’s Antitrust & Competition team has been actively engaged on global digital and technology issues including the reform debates in Europe, the US and Asia. The team will be monitoring the progress of the DMA and DSA through the EU’s legislative process, as well as how they will interact with other proposed regimes to regulate big tech and continuing enforcement activity against big tech globally. If you have any questions on the status of these proposals or would like to engage with the EU during the legislative process, please don’t hesitate to reach out to any member of the team.

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

- Antitrust

MEET THE TEAM



Dave Anderson

Co-Author, Brussels

david.anderson@bclplaw.com

[+32 \(0\) 2 792 2421](tel:+3227922421)



Paul Culliford

Co-Author, Brussels

paul.culliford@bclplaw.com

[+32 \(0\) 2 792 2424](tel:+3227922424)

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be “Attorney Advertising” under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP’s principal office and Kathrine Dixon (kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com) as the responsible attorney.