



GEORGE CHRISTODOULIDES

Associate

London

E: george.christodoulides@bclplaw.com

T: [+44 \(0\) 20 3400 4745](tel:+44(0)2034004745)

BIOGRAPHY

George is an associate in the Antitrust & Competition team, based in the London office.

George advises on all aspects of UK and EU competition law, including anti-competitive agreements, cartels, mergers and abuse of dominance. George has also advised on regulatory investigations.

George has experience of litigating competition disputes in the High Court of Justice and the Competition Appeal Tribunal.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

- Cypriots in the City Association

THE GROWTH OF CLASS ACTIONS: WHAT'S NEXT?

We explore the rapidly changing legal landscape

ADMISSIONS

- England and Wales

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

- Antitrust
- Business & Commercial Disputes
- Investigations
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Regulation, Compliance & Advisory

RELATED INSIGHTS

News

Mar 05, 2024

BCLP article nominated for 2024 antitrust writing awards

Insights

Feb 07, 2024

Showing anti-competitiveness the red card

The European Union's Court of Justice ("ECJ") went into the 2023 winter break in style, publishing a hat-trick of judgments (hereafter referred to as SuperLeague, ISU, and Royal Antwerp) regarding the application of competition law to the governance of sport. These judgments are an El Clasico of sorts for sports and competition law aficionados, with far reaching implications for rule-makers (such as FIFA, UEFA, the ISU, national sports associations and other sports governing bodies), players, clubs, fans, and other sectors more generally. This article details the factual background of the judgments, before assessing in turn, key implications in terms of sports governance and competition law. The judgments (ISU and SuperLeague in particular) strongly affirm the application of competition law to the governance of sports, and may subsequently result in many sports governing bodies revisiting the content and ap...

Insights

Aug 03, 2023

Another SIEC in the wall - the ECJ's judgment in CK Telecoms

Insights

Aug 01, 2023

The DMA and the DMCC: a side by side analysis

Insights

Jul 18, 2023

Formalism on the Chopping Block – the ECJ’s judgment in Super Bock

The ECJ’s recent preliminary ruling in C-211/22 - Super Bock Bebidas (“Super Bock”) is significant for businesses and competition authorities. It is well-established that categorisation of conduct as a ‘by object’ infringement of Article 101(1) TFEU must be considered by reference to whether, on a case-by-case basis, the agreement presents a sufficient degree of harm to competition. Super Bock is the first occasion on which the ECJ has applied this principle to vertical agreements fixing minimum resale prices (aka resale price maintenance, or “RPM”). In applying established principles to the vertical RPM setting, the ECJ’s analysis in Super Bock is unsurprising. However, it does formally reverse the Court’s earlier judgment in C-243/83 - SA Binon, and in doing so continues the ECJ’s retreat from assessing ‘by object’ infringements as according to their form, rather than their substance, under Article 101 TFEU. In this article we ...

News

Jun 28, 2023

BCLP competition team featured in ‘The Lawyer’ for work on landmark trucks cartel case

News

Jun 21, 2023

BCLP wins The Lawyer Awards Litigation Team of the Year

News

Apr 28, 2023

BCLP shortlisted in ‘The Lawyer’ Awards 2023

Insights

Mar 28, 2023

Doing a deal as an abuse of dominance? The ECJ’s decision in Towercast