

News

COURT RULING HIGHLIGHTS CHALLENGES IN PROVING INEQUITABLE CONDUCT FOR REVIVED PATENTS

May 10, 2024

BCLP Partners George Chen, Cory Smith and Associate Ellen Komlos co-authored an article in Law360 regarding a recent decision in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit examining how inequitable conduct can impact patent enforcement— focusing on representations made to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

The authors called attention to *Freshub v. Amazon Inc.*, highlighting the challenges of proving deceptive intent for inequitable conduct, even after a five-year abandonment period before revival. The court emphasized the need to consider both the beliefs of counsel and the client's intent regarding the acts forming the basis of the inequitable conduct claim.

In *Freshub*, the USPTO revived a previously abandoned parent application, leading to patent infringement claims by Freshub against Amazon. The court ruled in favor of Freshub, stating that Amazon failed to demonstrate deceptive intent by Freshub or its counsel during the revival process. The court's decision is significant not only for abandonment scenarios, but also for broader inequitable conduct claims related to withheld prior art and misrepresentations during prosecution—underscoring the importance of strategic evidence gathering, understanding attorney-client privilege limitations, and carefully considering language in patent-related agreements.

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

- Intellectual Property and Technology Disputes
- Patents Litigation
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution

MEET THE TEAM



George C. Chen

Phoenix

george.chen@bclplaw.com +1 602 364 7367



Cory G. Smith

Phoenix

cory.smith@bclplaw.com
+1 602 364 7442



Ellen M. Komlos

St. Louis

ellen.komlos@bclplaw.com

+1 314 259 2354

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be "Attorney Advertising" under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP's principal office and Kathrine Dixon (kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com) as the responsible attorney.