

Insights

MANAGING TECHNOLOGY SUPPLY CHAINS

WHAT THE ADVENT OF THE UK'S CRITICAL THIRD PARTY REGIME MEANS FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES FIRMS AND TECHNOLOGY PROVIDERS

DIGITAL SPEAKS SERIES

Nov 25, 2024

SUMMARY

The FCA, PRA, and Bank of England have published their finalised critical third party (**CTP**) rules (and accompanying guidance) in PS24/16 Operational resilience: Critical third parties to the UK financial sector. ^[1]The new rules, which come into force on **1 January 2025**, will see designated technology providers whose failure is deemed to pose a systemic risk to the UK financial system become subject to new principles-based, outcomes-focused rules and requirements overseen by the financial services regulators.

This is timely given the increasing trend in third-party related incidents affecting operational resilience at financial services firms. On 31 October, the FCA published its "lessons for operational resilience" following its review of the financial services sector's response to the recent CrowdStrike outage, which noted that third-party related issues were the leading cause of operational incidents reported to it in 2022-2023. This only serves to bolster the regulatory case for the CTP rules, given the risk posed to the stability of financial markets by dependence on a small number of third party service providers. The new CTP rules sit alongside the wider rules on operational resilience set-out in PS21/3 Building operational resilience, which become fully operational on 31 March 2025.

WHAT ARE THE FINAL RULES AND HOW DO THEY DIFFER FROM THE CONSULTATION PAPER?

We discussed the proposed rules set-out in CP26/23 - Operational resilience: Critical third parties to the UK financial sector in our Emerging Themes in Financial Regulation & Disputes article: Joining The Dots. At a high level, the proposals were for a set of fundamental rules that all CTPs would need to follow in respect of all the services they provide, together with a more detailed set of requirements applicable to a CTP's material services (the 8 Operational Risk and Resilience

Requirements). The proposals also prescribe new information sharing and self-assessment requirements for CTPs.

Following consultation, the primary thrust of the rules has remained unchanged, with some minor changes made to reflect consultation responses:

- The changes principally relate to terminology changes, with, for example, 'material services' rebadged to 'systemic third party services'.
- Some clarificatory changes, for example to explain how service disruption or failure could impact the stability of the UK financial system.
- Recognising that some CTPs will not be well versed in UK financial regulatory matters, the final rules mandate a grace period to enable CTPs to get up to speed to enable submission of the relevant self-assessments in the period following designation.
- Removing the need for CTPs to nominate a UK legal person, instead only requiring that all CTPs provide an address for service in the UK.

WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?

Third party service providers likely to be within scope will be awaiting the initial round of CTP designations by HM Treasury (**HMT**), which is expected to take place in early 2025. The Bank of England, the PRA and the FCA's updated version of their Approach to the oversight of critical third parties includes the criteria to identify CTPs and recommend them to HMT for . Once designated, CTPs will need to comply with the CTP Fundamental Rules and meet the CTP Operational Risk and Resilience Requirements.

WHAT WILL BE REQUIRED OF DESIGNATED CTPS?

- Comply with the six CTP Fundamental Rules (with Rules 1-5 applicable only in respect of systemic third party services of the CTP, and Rule 6 applicable in respect of all services offered by the CTP).
- Meet the eight Operational Risk and Resilience Requirements (ORRR).
- As part of the ORRR, within twelve months of designation, conduct mapping of the resources (including persons, key nth-party providers, assets, supporting services and technology) and internal/external interdependencies required to support delivery of each systemic third party service it provides (and regularly update this thereafter).
- Submit an interim self-assessment to the relevant regulator within three months of designation as a CTP and thereafter provide an annual update, to detail the CTP's compliance with the CTP

rules.

- Set an appropriate maximum tolerable level of disruption for systemic third party services and share details of this with the firms it provides its services to (subject to the ability to agree higher thresholds in contracts with specific counterparties). This should include at least one time-based metric and cover the end-to-end delivery of the systemic third party service in both business-as-usual and periods of heightened or peak activity. This level should be informed by information from firms about which systemic third party services are key to the resilience of important business services and the recovery times they would expect in relation to the systemic third party service.
- Conduct regular scenario testing (in conjunction with firms and regulators) of the CTP's ability
 to continue providing each of its services within its appropriate maximum tolerable level of
 disruption, in the event of a severe but plausible disruption to its operations.
- Devise and conduct incident management playbook exercises.
- Conduct appropriate incident reporting, including in respect of near-misses.
- Plan for termination or disorderly exit from an arrangement to provide a systemic third party service.

HOW WILL THE CHANGES IMPACT FINANCIAL SERVICES FIRMS?

Financial services firms who rely on service providers who play a prominent role in the UK financial services ecosystem will be watching attentively, in particular to understand:

- How the designations affect their own operational resilience strategy.
- The possible impact on their own compliance costs.
- The costs of acquiring technology solutions from newly designated CTPs.

Whilst the regulators have again emphasised that the CTP regime does not relieve firms of their own operational resilience obligations under PRA and/or FCA rules, the reality is that CTP designations will inform how firms assess their operational resilience – especially if the firm's service supply chains involve several CTPs – and will affect how the firms are required to interact with the CTPs.

From a 'day 1' perspective, following designation, firms may not see too many immediate changes to the nature of the services they receive from CTPs. However, to enable a CTP to demonstrate that it is meeting its new commitments under the CTP regime, a CTP will be expected to interact more with its financial services clients. For example, involving them in setting tolerances for disruption

and scenario testing, as well as understanding the interplay between a CTP's services and a firm's identification of its important business services and critical/material outsourcings. Firms will also get more information from CTPs to inform their operational resilience strategy from a CTP's annual self-assessment and feedback from incident management playbook exercises and responses from scenario testing.

However, note that CTPs are not required to remediate their customer contracts immediately to reflect the new CTP requirement, rather as and when contracts are due for renewal in the ordinary course of business. This contrasts with re-papering exercises currently being undertaken by businesses in the EU in readiness for implementation of the EU's Digital Operational Resilience Act 2022/2554 (**DORA**). The CTP rules are intended to align with international standards, particularly the DORA and the US incident reporting rules, such as the Bank Service Company Act. See our previous article: The EU's Digital Operational Resilience Act 2022/2554 (DORA).

The regulators stress that being badged as a CTP is not intended to function as an endorsement. Feedback to CP26/23 suggested that whilst there may be a halo effect for providers designated as CTPs, there are counter disincentives, as set-out above in "What will be required of designated CTPs?". Some respondents also suggested that the increased regulatory burden on CTPs will see internal compliance costs increase and that these costs will be passed onto customers, which may affect how firms select third party service providers to support important business services.

It is important to highlight that there are currently no financial sanctions for non-compliance by CTPs with the CTP rules. Instead, regulators can issue directions to instruct a CTP to take (or not take) certain actions, which could extend to being told not to offer services to regulated firms. Although query whether the regulator will wield this sanction in practice, given the risk that this could leave regulated firms without an important service provider. This sanction is unlikely to be deployed in practice without significant warning to the market.

HOW CAN BCLP HELP?

There is substantial change on the horizon in this area and we can help you navigate it. We have a highly regarded, multi-disciplinary team of lawyers across various jurisdictions who provide advice on operational resilience requirements and complying with the CTP regime, DORA, and related requirements.

[1] These rules are set out separately in each regulator's rules but are substantively the same (e.g. as here in the FCA Handbook CTPS Critical Third Parties sourcebook).

RELATED CAPABILITIES

Technology Transactions

MEET THE TEAM



Marcus Pearl

London

marcus.pearl@bclplaw.com
+44 (0) 20 3400 4757



Matthew Baker

London

matthew.baker@bclplaw.com
+44 (0) 20 3400 4902



Anna Blest

London
anna.blest@bclplaw.com
+44 (0) 20 3400 4475



Samantha Paul

London
samantha.paul@bclplaw.com
+44 (0) 20 3400 3194

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be "Attorney Advertising" under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP's principal office and Kathrine Dixon (kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com) as the responsible attorney.