

Insights

PLEADING STANDARDS FOR PROHIBITED TRANSACTION CLAIMS: WHAT IS NEXT?

Jul 24, 2025

This column examines the circuit split on which pleading standard applies to prohibited transaction claims.

The Supreme Court has agreed to wade into the existing circuit split on the issue of which pleading standard applies to prohibited transaction claims under 29 U.S.C. Section 1106(a). On October 4, 2024, the Supreme Court granted certiorari in Cunningham v. Cornell Univ. [86 F.4th 961 (2d. Cir. 2023)], a Second Circuit case holding that a heightened pleading standard applies to prohibited transaction claims. The Second Circuit is consistent with the Third, Seventh, and Tenth Circuits, but it conflicts with the less stringent pleading standard articulated by the Eighth and Ninth Circuits.

Read the article in Journal of Pension Benefits >

RELATED CAPABILITIES

- Employee Benefits & Executive Compensation
- ERISA & Employee Benefits Litigation

MEET THE TEAM



Katelyn Harrell

Atlanta <u>katelyn.harrell@bclplaw.com</u> <u>+1 404 572 6668</u>



W. Bard Brockman

Atlanta / Miami <u>bard.brockman@bclplaw.com</u> <u>+1 404 572 4507</u>

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be "Attorney Advertising" under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP's principal office and Kathrine Dixon (kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com) as the responsible attorney.