



## **VICTORIA CLARK**

**Knowledge Counsel**

**London**

E: [victoria.clark@bclplaw.com](mailto:victoria.clark@bclplaw.com)

T: [+44 \(0\) 20 3400 3095](tel:+442034003095)

## **BIOGRAPHY**

Victoria is a Knowledge Management Lawyer in the International Arbitration team. She is responsible for the development of the knowledge management and training strategy within the International Arbitration Group.

Victoria is an experienced litigator with more than 15 years' experience of national and international dispute resolutions and has represented clients across a wide range of industry sectors including energy, banking and financial services. She is experienced in the conduct of ad hoc arbitrations, arbitrations under the UNCITRAL Rules and has also conducted under the auspices of major arbitral institutions, including the ICC and LCIA.

## ADMISSIONS

- England and Wales

## RELATED CAPABILITIES

- Real Estate
- Construction Disputes
- International Arbitration
- Oil, Gas & Sustainable Fuels
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution

## RELATED INSIGHTS

Insights

Mar 03, 2025

### **DIFC-LCIA Arbitration Clauses: Are they enforceable?**

The US Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit has recently upheld the enforceability of a DIFC-LCIA arbitration clause, notwithstanding the fact that the DIFC-LCIA no longer exists. The decision highlights the uncertainty that surrounds the enforceability of DIFC-LCIA arbitration clauses.

Insights

Feb 25, 2025

### **The Arbitration Act 2025: State of the Art or a Missed Opportunity?**

The Arbitration Act 2025 has become law in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. The new Act is largely based on the recommendations made in the Law Commission's Final Report, published following a public consultation seeking views on potential areas for reform. The new Act doesn't represent a dramatic change to the arbitration framework in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. Feedback from the Law Commission's consultation was that the Arbitration Act 1996 works well and that significant reform was neither needed nor wanted. As a result, the new Act makes a series of discrete amendments to the 1996 Act, delivering incremental improvement as opposed to root and branch reform.

Insights

Dec 13, 2024

### **BCLP International Arbitration Survey 2024**

Insights

Oct 08, 2024

### **Lessons from UniCredit v RusChemAlliance: What law governs your arbitration clause?**

News

Apr 12, 2024

### **International Arbitration team author articles on the impact of sanctions on arbitration**

Insights

Nov 09, 2023

## **BCLP Arbitration Survey 2023**

Insights

Sep 06, 2023

## **The Arbitration Act 2024: An aggregation of marginal gains**

The Law Commission of England and Wales has concluded its review of the Arbitration Act 1996. In our International Arbitration Survey 2022, we canvassed views on the potential areas for reform of the Act. On 6 September, the Law Commission published a final report setting out its conclusions and recommendations for the reform together with a draft Arbitration Bill. The headline point is that the new Act will not contain any dramatic changes. A common refrain during the consultation process was that the 1996 Act was fundamentally sound and there was no need for a fundamental re-draft. Instead, the focus has been on incremental improvements to the Act to ensure that it remains “state of the art” and “continues to support London’s world-leading role in international arbitration.” Here is a summary of what we can expect to see in a new Arbitration Act.

Insights

Jul 24, 2023

## **Artificial Intelligence - the Rise of Machine Learning**

For over ten years, BCLP’s International Arbitration Group has conducted a number of surveys on issues affecting the arbitration process including: cyber-security in arbitration proceedings (2019), rights of appeal (2020), expert evidence (2021) and the reform of the Arbitration Act 1996 (2022). This year our survey topic is artificial intelligence (AI) and the impact of its use in international arbitration.

Insights

Jul 05, 2023

## **Time Limits for Awards: The Danger of Deadlines**

Cost and delay remain the two areas of greatest concern to parties in arbitration. A particular source of frustration is that it can sometimes take many months for arbitrators to deliberate and issue an award. As a result, we are increasingly being asked whether arbitration agreements should include a deadline for the delivery of an award. This article considers some of the pros and cons of setting a deadline for the delivery of an award.