



ANDREW HOCKLEY

Partner

Sydney

Australian Registered Foreign Lawyer (admitted in England and Wales) and Global Practice Group Leader - White Collar, Antitrust, and International Trade

E: andrew.hockley@bclplaw.com

T: <u>+44 20 3400 4630</u>

M: <u>+ 61 (0) 423 831 223</u>

BIOGRAPHY

Andrew Hockley is Practice Group Leader for White Collar, Antitrust & International Trade, and heads the firm's Antitrust & Competition practice. He has extensive experience in the mainstream competition areas of mergers, cartels, abuse of dominance, distribution and other commercial relationships.

* Andrew is registered in NSW to practise English law at qualified entity Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner (Australia) Pty Limited only. Partner of Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP in the US (not licensed to practice law in the United States). Andrew advises transaction parties on the application of the UK's National Security & Investment Act and other foreign investment regimes to their transactions. He also advises a number of the UK's leading utilities, their counterparties and investors on issues of economic regulation.

Andrew is recognised for building a market leading Competition Litigation practice, advising both defendants and claimants on the most important cases in the UK.

Prior to joining Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner, Andrew was Competition Counsel at BP plc and responsible for advising all areas of the business on competition law and regulatory matters. Andrew has also worked in private practice in Brussels and London, where he has represented clients on numerous high-profile cases before the European Commission and national competition authorities and regulators around the world.

Andrew holds post-graduate qualifications in competition law and economics from Kings College London. He is an English-qualified solicitor, a former member of the Brussels Bar and contributes actively to numerous competition and regulatory law publications, organisations and events.

AUSTRALIAN PRACTICE

At the present time, Andrew is based primarily in Sydney and registered as an Australian-Registered Foreign Lawyer with the New South Wales Law Society. In addition to maintaining his UK practice, he is active "on the ground" as a representative for BCLP, available to support and facilitate clients' activities with a broad Australian nexus, including in their interactions with our valued network of Australian preferred firms.

Andrew is a contributing author to Financial Regulation: Emerging Themes in 2021 and BCLP's Competition Collective: Antitrust, Foreign Investment and Trade insights from around the world.

ADMISSIONS

England and Wales

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

- Antitrust
- White Collar
- International Trade
- Insurance
- Retail & Consumer Products

- Infrastructure
- Energy & Natural Resources
- Anti-Bribery & Corruption
- Corporate
- Finance
- Investigations
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Regulation, Compliance & Advisory
- Israel Practice
- Special Investigations
- Zero Emission Vehicles & Charging Infrastructure
- Oil, Gas and LNG
- Insurance Regulatory
- Nuclear
- Water & Utilities
- Insurance Insolvency & Receiverships
- Insurance: Corporate & Transactional
- Captive Insurance
- AdTech
- PropTech
- Hotels and Hospitality
- Real Estate Retail
- InsureTech
- Insurance (Class Actions)
- Brexit
- Anti-Money Laundering Compliance

RELATED INSIGHTS

News

Feb 20, 2024

Chambers Global 2024

News

Oct 19, 2023

Chambers UK Ranks BCLP in 41 practice areas and recognizes 74 lawyers

News

Oct 18, 2023

BCLP team advises on \$965 million Driscoll's deal

News

Sep 25, 2023

BCLP advises Driscoll's as part of consortium to acquire Costa Group for A\$2.5 billion (US\$1.6bn)

Awards

Sep 19, 2023

BCLP Competition Lawyers Ranked in 'Who's Who Legal' 2023

Insights

Aug 03, 2023

Another SIEC in the wall - the ECJ's judgment in CK Telecoms

Insights

Aug 01, 2023

The DMA and the DMCC: a side by side analysis

Insights

Jul 18, 2023

Formalism on the Chopping Bock – the ECJ's judgment in Super Bock

The ECJ's recent preliminary ruling in C-211/22 - Super Bock Bebidas ("Super Bock") is significant for businesses and competition authorities. It is well-established that categorisation of conduct as a 'by object' infringement of Article 101(1) TFEU must be considered by reference to whether, on a case-by-case basis, the agreement presents a sufficient degree of harm to competition. Super Bock is the first occasion on which the ECJ has applied this principle to vertical agreements fixing minimum resale prices (aka resale price maintenance, or "RPM"). In applying established principles to the vertical RPM setting, the ECJ's analysis in Super Bock is unsurprising. However, it does formally reverse the Court's earlier judgment in C-243/83 - SA Binon, and in doing so continues the ECJ's retreat from assessing 'by object' infringements as according to their form, rather than their substance, under Article 101 TFEU. In this article we ...

News

Jun 28, 2023

BCLP competition team featured in 'The Lawyer' for work on landmark trucks cartel case