



ANDREW STREET

Partner
London

E: andrew.street@bclplaw.com

T: [+44 \(0\) 20 3400 3658](tel:+44(0)2034003658)

BIOGRAPHY

Andrew is a Partner in the Firm's Business & Commercial Disputes Team and advises on a wide array of complex commercial disputes. He routinely acts on cases with an international dimension, many of which involve conflicts of law issues between different jurisdictions. Andrew has experience in high value corporate and shareholder disputes, civil fraud, and complex trusts disputes.

Andrew also advises on matters involving reputation management, including actions for defamation, breach of confidence/privacy, and protection of data.

Andrew's practice focuses on advising high profile public figures, financial institutions, public companies, and clients in the real estate funds and sport sectors.

Andrew has specific expertise and experience in sports disputes and contentious regulatory and disciplinary matters in sport. He is a member of the Judicial Panels for the LTA and England Boxing, is a contributing author to the textbook 'Football and the Law' (Bloomsbury publishing), has been published by The Times on sports law issues, and was ranked by Chambers for sports law in 2022 and 2023.

THE GROWTH OF CLASS ACTIONS: WHAT'S NEXT?

We explore the rapidly changing legal landscape

ADMISSIONS

- England and Wales

RELATED CAPABILITIES

- Business & Commercial Disputes
- Sports, Media & Entertainment
- M&A Disputes
- Crisis Management & Reputational Risk
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- California Consumer Privacy Act
- Special Investigations
- Investigations
- Contract, Endorsement & Celebrity Representation
- Anti-Doping
- Sports & Event Venue Real Estate Infrastructure & Operation
- Naming Rights & Sponsorship
- Sports & Entertainment M&A
- Sports, Media & Entertainment Litigation
- Entertainment Industry
- Sports & Event Financing
- Collegiate Sports
- Olympic & National Governing Bodies
- Professional Sports Team Representation

RELATED INSIGHTS

News

Jun 13, 2025

BCLP advised Dundee Precious Metals on cash and shares offer for Adriatic Metals

Insights

Jan 29, 2025

Enforcement of judgments between France and England

Being able to enforce a judgment is of crucial importance for businesses: securing a judgment is a pyrrhic victory unless it can be enforced. Here we explore whether concerns about the recognition and enforcement procedure between France and England can now be laid to rest. Our answer is that for commercial and finance parties, the answer is to a large degree, yes.

Awards

Oct 17, 2024

Chambers 2025 UK guide ranks BCLP in 34 practice areas and recognises 74 individual lawyers

News

Oct 03, 2024

Andrew Street appointed to the RFU Disciplinary Panel

News

Sep 24, 2024

BCLP Brings Unique Combination of Legal and Sports Sector Knowledge to a Major Premier League Deal

Insights

Jul 17, 2024

Corruption in Sport

Insights

Jul 12, 2024

When use of sports technology goes wrong - VAR and other technologies

Insights

Feb 07, 2024

Showing anti-competitiveness the red card

The European Union's Court of Justice ("ECJ") went into the 2023 winter break in style, publishing a hat-trick of judgments (hereafter referred to as SuperLeague, ISU, and Royal Antwerp) regarding the application of competition law to the governance of sport. These judgments are an El Classico of sorts for sports and competition law aficionados, with far reaching implications for rule-makers (such as FIFA, UEFA, the ISU, national sports associations and other sports governing bodies), players, clubs, fans, and other sectors more generally. This article details the factual background of the judgments, before assessing in turn, key implications in terms of sports governance and competition law. The judgments (ISU and SuperLeague in particular) strongly affirm the application of competition law to the governance of sports, and may subsequently result in many sports governing bodies revisiting the content and ap...

Check Complete: Arteta decision confirms strong criticism might not be misconduct under FA Rules

Earlier this month, a Regulatory Commission issued a significant decision limiting the scope of liability for misconduct under the FA Rules. In dismissing the FA's charge against Arsenal FC manager Mikel Arteta, the Regulatory Commission confirmed that subject to certain limits and in the right context, the rules of the game allow for criticism of decisions, even if expressed in strong terms. In this blog, we consider the implications of the ruling for the right to voice criticism within the bounds of the FA rules. *The Football Association v Mikel Arteta*