



靳海文

Partner

Hong Kong SAR

合伙人，争议解决与监管

E: glenn.haley@bclplaw.com

T: [+852 3143 8450](tel:+85231438450)

BIOGRAPHY

- 在基础设施、建设和工程领域拥有逾30年的大型项目经验，既包括诉讼也包括非诉项目。
- 在很多大型基础设施开发中代表政府和准政府机构，包括机场、铁路项目、桥梁、道路、隧道、发电项目和商业结构。
- 在香港特别行政区生活和工作25年，处理整个亚太地区的项目。

INDIVIDUAL LEGAL DIRECTORY RANKINGS

Band 1: Construction: International Firms in China, Chambers and Partners Greater China Region, 2024

Hall of Fame: Construction in Hong Kong, Legal 500 Asia Pacific, 2024

WHAT THEY ARE SAYING

"A real name in the Hong Kong market as a construction lawyer." Chambers and Partners Greater China Region, 2024

"He is a commercially sensitive lawyer and a go-to in Hong Kong. He is exceptionally well connected." Chambers and Partners Greater China Region, 2023

"Insightful and practical advice on construction-related disputes" Legal 500 Asia Pacific, 2022

"Glenn Haley is just incredibly experienced. He is one of the most famous, 'hall of fame'-type lawyers," Chambers and Partners Greater China Region, 2023

PRACTICE GROUP LEGAL DIRECTORY RANKINGS

Band 1: Construction: International Firms in China, Chambers and Partners Greater China Region, 2024

Band 1: Construction in Hong Kong, Legal 500 Asia Pacific, 2024

SPOKEN LANGUAGES

- English

ADMISSIONS

- ACT
- New South Wales
- Northern Territory
- Queensland
- Victoria

- England and Wales (non-practicing)

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

- Construction Disputes
- Infrastructure
- Sports & Entertainment
- Data Privacy & Security
- Energy & Natural Resources
- Real Estate Disputes
- Corporate
- Investigations
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Regulation, Compliance & Advisory
- Sports & Entertainment Contract, Endorsement & Celebrity Representation Practice
- Anti-Doping Practice
- Sports & Event Venue Real Estate Infrastructure and Operation
- Naming Rights & Sponsorship Practice
- Sports & Entertainment M&A Practice
- Sports & Entertainment Litigation Practice
- Sports & Entertainment Specialty Counseling Practice
- Entertainment Industry Practice
- Sports & Event Financing
- Collegiate Sports Practice
- Olympic & National Governing Body Practice
- Professional Sports Team Practice
- Data Center & Digital Infrastructure Team
- Business & Commercial Disputes
- Real Estate
- Securities Litigation and Enforcement

RELATED INSIGHTS

Insights

Mar 18, 2024

NEC ECC Hong Kong Edition: 5 Key Features

Awards

Jan 24, 2024

Chambers Greater China Region 2024

Insights

May 10, 2023

Limitation periods and concurrent duty in tort and contract

In *Sheffield Teaching Hospital Foundation Trust v Hadfield Healthcare Partnership Ltd and others*, the Technology and Construction Court provides helpful guidance about the use of standstill agreements to pause limitation periods, and the existence or otherwise of concurrent liabilities in tort and contract in the construction industry.

Awards

Jan 13, 2023

Chambers Asia Pacific & Greater China Region 2023

Awards

Jan 13, 2023

Legal 500 Asia Pacific 2023

Insights

Sep 21, 2022

Hong Kong Court of Appeal decision on cartel fines imposed on entities within the same undertaking

Competition Commission v W. Hing Construction & others [2022] HKCA 786 (judgment date: 2 June 2022) concerned an appeal from the first Hong Kong judgments concerning pecuniary penalties for contraventions of competition rules. The Court of Appeal held that pecuniary penalties for contraventions of competition rules are to be assessed based on the economic activities and conduct of the undertakings who are answerable for the contraventions, and that the legal or natural persons (entities) constituting such undertakings jointly and severally are liable for the pecuniary penalties. Accordingly, the Court of Appeal allowed the appeals by the Competition Commission (Commission) against two judgments in which the Competition Tribunal (Tribunal) reduced the pecuniary penalties ordered against the respondents in recognition of the respondents' limited participation in the anti-competitive conduct because they h...

Insights

Aug 02, 2022

Hong Kong court provided guidance on the approach to granting Mareva injunctions in support of enforcement proceedings for arbitral awards

Hong Kong court continued the validity of a Mareva injunction granted in connection with the enforcement proceedings of a CIETAC award, and dismissed an application for security or fortification in support of the cross-undertaking as to damages. This case confirms the Hong Kong courts' pro-arbitration and pro-enforcement approach. *G v X* highlights that Hong Kong courts generally are more prepared to grant Mareva injunctions and other relevant orders in aid of enforcement proceedings of arbitral awards, in comparison with similar applications made at the interlocutory stage in court litigation. The judgment also illustrates how Hong Kong courts will apply the usual tests, and exercise its discretions, in respect of the grant of Mareva injunctions in the context of enforcement proceedings. The confirmation that parties may seek simultaneous enforcement in both the Mainland and Hong Kong under the Supplement...

Insights

Jul 29, 2022

Hong Kong court rules that it has no power to extend the time to challenge an arbitral award under Article 34 of the UNCITRAL Model Law

In *AW and others v PY and another* [2022] HKCFI 1397 (judgment date: 13 May 2022), a Hong Kong court held that it has no power to extend the time to challenge an arbitral award under Article 34 of the Model Law, as adopted by Section 81 of the Arbitration Ordinance of Hong Kong. The present case is important in establishing that Hong Kong courts do not have the power to extend the time for making a setting-aside application under Article 34 of the Model Law. This serves as a reminder to parties seeking to challenge an award under the Article to act in a prompt and timely manner.

Insights

May 24, 2022

Recent decision on enforcing a South Korean judgement in Hong Kong: Application of common law principles