



MARIEKE DATEMA

Of Counsel
Singapore

E: marieke.datema@bcplaw.com

T: [+65 6571 6623](tel:+6565716623)

BIOGRAPHY

Marieke has experience of advising on cartel investigations and internal competition law/compliance investigations, including coordinating multi-jurisdictional aspects of investigations, managing document review processes and advising clients on competition law risks and strategic options. She has also advised clients on potential abuses of dominance including working with stakeholders to address and manage risks associated with commercial proposals. Marieke recently spent time on secondment as a Senior Legal Advisor at a regulated client, advising on competition and regulatory matters and working closely with the business.

She also has experience of advising clients on competition law damages claims as well as aspects of international trade law.

Marieke worked at another international law firm and at BCLP in London between 2008 and 2020 and was a consultant in the Competition/Antitrust team at an international firm in the Netherlands before relocating to Singapore and re-joining BCLP.

As part of the BCLP Singapore office, Marieke is available to support and facilitate clients' needs in the Asia-Pacific region in antitrust, investigations, foreign investment control and trade.

SPOKEN LANGUAGES

- English

ADMISSIONS

- England and Wales

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

- Antitrust
- International Trade
- Corporate
- Investigations
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Regulation, Compliance & Advisory
- Brexit

RELATED INSIGHTS

News

Jun 28, 2023

BCLP competition team featured in 'The Lawyer' for work on landmark trucks cartel case

News

Jun 21, 2023

BCLP wins The Lawyer Awards Litigation Team of the Year

Insights

Mar 29, 2023

FDI Regulation in the US, UK, France & Germany

The regulation of foreign direct investment ("FDI") has become an increasingly important feature of the regulatory landscape for investors. Globally, there is a trend towards greater FDI restrictions although the degree and nature

of regulation varies significantly across jurisdictions. In the below we highlight key aspects of FDI regulation in the United States (“US”), United Kingdom (“UK”), France and Germany. Contents Types of deals reviewed in the US, UK, France and Germany Notification process in the US, UK, France and Germany Sanctions for non-compliance in the US, UK, France and Germany Recent trends and developments in the US, UK, France and Germany Other points for investors to consider in the US, UK, France and Germany

Insights

Mar 17, 2023

Financing losses and interest - simple pleasures or compounding the misery?

It has long been a mystery to economists, accountants and business people why lawyers have regard to simple interest in commercial cases, in circumstances where companies generally do not (and cannot) borrow money on a simple interest basis. Despite compound interest having been awarded and endorsed in *Sempra Metals* more than 15 years ago, it is still common for claimants to claim, and for UK courts to award, interest on a simple basis. The Competition Appeal Tribunal’s landmark judgment in *Royal Mail v DAF Trucks* provides a ringing endorsement of the principles laid down in *Sempra Metals* and provides insight as to what a claimant is required to prove to successfully claim compound interest.

News

Feb 15, 2023

Landmark cartel damages judgment for BCLP clients Royal Mail and BT

News

Jan 11, 2023

BCLP further strengthens Antitrust Practice with senior hire in Singapore

Insights

Nov 11, 2020

Bound by prior admissions: Court of Appeal upholds the CAT’s abuse of process judgment against Truck Cartelists

The Court of Appeal has strongly dismissed an appeal against the Competition Appeal Tribunal’s (“CAT’s”) decision that it would be an abuse of process for certain truck manufacturers to seek to challenge the facts recorded in the Trucks Cartel Settlement Decision in follow on damages actions save for in certain limited circumstances. The Court of Appeal’s Judgment is likely to have wide implications for Claimants bringing damages proceedings arising out of a Commission Settlement Decision as well as those companies who have, or intend to, settle competition investigations with the Commission.